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**Comments to authors**:

The case reported infective endocarditis of TOF patient. There are many points need clarification

1. The first evaluation done for this patient was MRI during follow up. Is this logic, no echo?.
2. The MRI findings were in agreement with the echo done during hospital admission except for pulm. reg (moderate PR with MRI, no PR by Echo). Do you have any explanation?.
3. The fever was persistent for 5 months or it was recurrent?. not clear in manuscript
4. Blood culture. How frequent?. Why not done for atypical organisms?. It was done during antibiotic covering or after?.
5. The discussion is nearly typical to the introduction. You have to concentrate on your findings of this case and compare it with the previous reports.
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