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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Novel management of a rare disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors have presented a case of leptomeningeal histiocytic sarcoma with favorable outcomes after chemoradiotherapy. The report can enrich our knowledge on this rare disease but I would like to comment on the following:

1) There are many wrong spellings and grammatic errors throughout the manuscript. Proof reading is appreciated before submission.

2) A standard panel of IHC markers including CD 163, melanocytic and glial cell
markers should also be performed in this patient.

3) Knowing that it would be impossible for the author to present convincing evidence of choosing the "Stupp regimen" in this case, it would be appreciated if current evidence for treatment of CNS histiocytic sarcoma could be discussed eg. role of RT and chemotherapy.

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests