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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

My comments are thus:

Abstract
Introduction: The word ‘is’ should appear between pneumoniae and an.

Discussion
Even though nasopharyngeal cultures of the twin babies were not performed in this case report, did the babies show symptoms of respiratory tract infections? If they didn’t, the author/authors should state this in the discussion.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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