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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I was very impressed with this case report because of its rarity and it surely seemed an authentic report.

I would considerer important the authors to explain the type of health care in their country and to describe pregnancy surveillance. Why did this patient missed the first trimester ultrasound? Is this a frequent practice in your country? I believe so. Do you have easy access to an ultrasonographer? I guess not, but I think the authors could simply explain why her first ultrasound scan was at 33 weeks of gestation. I understood that the patient was observed in her local clinic in her first episodes of abdominal pain, wouldn't it be normal to do an ultrasound scan?

I think that there is no need to explain the Apgar Score.
In what refers to the sentence "the patient and her baby were apparently doing well", I believe more accurate clinical information is needed.

I also believe that there are some points that need to be reviewed, particularly in what concerns to the quality of language used. Scientific terminology should be reviewed.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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