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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes
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Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes
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Comments to authors:

Very interesting case report. In fact, today we don’t have algorithms and protocols in how to deal with these kind of strictures, combined with an AUS. This article also gives an alternative and interesting use of the semi-rigid URS. Indeed, we need a larger volume of cases in order to accept this approach.
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