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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Kuriakose and Hanbali have presented well an interesting case of ITP, TTP nad ET in a single patient.

Do the authors have jak 2 information on the patient at the time of ET diagnosis. The authors should make to make the point that once a diagnosis of ITP is made, all future thrombocytopenic presentations should still have a blood film review and clinical assessment of the patient.

Also, all are acquired disorders and ITP and TTP have an underlying autoimmune pathogenesis
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