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Dear Editor,

Thank you for your comments regarding our submission “Aqueductal Developmental Venous Anomaly as an Unusual Cause of Congenital Hydrocephalus: Case Report and Review of the Literature.” We have addressed the reviewers’ questions and concerns to the best of our ability. Please find below our revisions and responses.

Deputy Editor:

1) I would suggest that they include either within the case report description itself or in the discussion section their explanation and justifications for excluding the differential diagnoses suggested by the peer-reviewers.

We have included a section within the case report description and discussion section explaining and justifying our reasons for excluding the differential diagnoses suggested by the peer-reviewers.

2) A. The figures were rather small and hard to visualize.

We have included higher-quality figures.

B. I would suggest that instead of listing their case as “Present study” the term “Present case” should be used.

We have made the suggested change in our table.

Thank you for considering our submission. We hope that our revisions sufficiently improve our manuscript. Please let us know if there are any other questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Andrew Jea

Corresponding Author