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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unreported or unusual side effects or adverse interactions involving medications

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I have only a small remark to the manuscript sentence ( Patients with hallucinations related to metoprolol may tolerate a switch to a more hydrophilic beta-blocker such as atenolol ).

I think that switch metoprolol to atenolol only depends on the base of drug indication and patient’s diagnosis. In the case i.e. of chronic heart failure isn't atenolol drug of choice. In case of heart failure a more hydrophilic beta-blocker such as bisoprolol is indicated. Only beta-blockers whose efficacy has been demonstrated in large scale clinical trials should be used in the treatment of chronic heart failure, i.e. bisoprolol, carvedilol, metoprolol (succinate) and nebivolol.
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