Reviewer’s report

**Title:** A postmenarchal girl with hematometra: a case report

**Version:** 2  **Date:** 11 August 2012

**Reviewer:** Shilpa Sharma

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The case report is unique and worth publishing but the following changes will make it reader friendly.

1. Replace "diagnosis making" with "reaching a diagnosis" in the abstract
2. Remove the heading - introduction in the abstract. Use the heading "background " and make it brief in 2 sentences
3. Replace the words - unnecessary laparoscopy with "the anomaly could not be picked up on laparoscopy.
4. Avoid repeating the flow of events in discussion. Make it brief with only relevant sentences.
5. Add in Conclusions "Judicious use of MRI in adolescent girls may help to obviate the need for trans vaginal ultrasonography in difficult circumstances
where the diagnosis is difficult to establish”.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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