Reviewer's report

Title: Clinical picture and treatment implication in a child with Capgras Syndrome: a case report

Version: 2 Date: 29 August 2012

Reviewer: Max Coltheart

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unreported or unusual side effects or adverse interactions involving medications

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

If this report is to be published, much more information about the child's delusion must be included.

1. When it is reported that the child believed that her parents had been replaced, what exactly did she say, and to whom, in what context?

2. The bottom line of that page says "a reduction was observed in the positive symptoms"? What positive symptoms? What was the evidence that there had been any reduction of these? Are the authors here referring to the delusion?

3. On the next page, later in the same paragraph, the authors say that there was "a full remission of the clinically relevant psychotic state". Does that mean that the delusion was no longer present? How was that established? Was the child
interviewed, what questions were asked, and what answers did the child give? Far more detail is needed here. And the next sentence refers to there being later "a significant reduction in symptoms severity". A list of the symptoms being referred to here is essential.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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