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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Overall, I think it is an interesting case of an unusual presentation of a common disease. However, the paper should be revised for grammar and syntax. There were portions which were difficult to follow.

There are a few details of information which should be expanded upon.

1. Who comprised the multidisciplinary team?

2. The standard treatment of patients with locally invasive rectal cancer is typically neoadjuvant chemoradiation. Can the authors compare/contrast their standard treatment to the treatment of the patient in the case?

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being
published
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