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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1. authors present six cases of valsalva retinopathy with vision loss.
2. little new information is presented, but rather the authors present their experience of managing several patients with this uncommon disorder
3. the authors could do a better job highlighting the downsides of YAG laser, especially the difficulty in doing the laser so close to the retinal surface. Two of their cases had it done and yet still required vitrectomy.
4. good photos. especially of the OCT showing the YAG laser pits.
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