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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:
Novel etiologic agent of a clinical condition

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is an interesting report of anaphylaxis caused by chloramine-T and is really the first description of this agent as a cause of anaphylaxis.

A few comments should be addressed:

1. Were further dilution of chloramine (below 10 mg/ml) used for Prick test? This would be useful to exclude an irritating effect of chloramine.

2. How was titration of IgE made to provide a value of 2.9 kU/L? Was a standard curve run? Please provide details.
3. The authors should make clear in their discussion that this case of anaphylaxis occurred in a patient who had a predisposing condition (folliculitis). For the sake of clarity this predisposing factor should not be underestimated.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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