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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1. The case is interesting, however I believe the important issue should be if ultrasound can help detecting a possible urethral injury.

2. In the discussion section there should be a more detailed description of the signs that indicate an urethral injury and in which cases it’s obligatory for a urethrography to be performed.

3. In the conclusion section there is a comparison between ultrasound and urethrography. Both are different examinations with different indications so they should bot be compared. The point that should be stated is when an urethrography is necessary in case of a penile fracture.

4. The manuscript contains some syntax errors that should be revised by an English-native speaker.
5. The term urethrogram should be replaced by urethrography

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published