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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Interesting case, well explained. Manuscript well written and the authors have addressed all the questions from previous reviewers.

My only question would be about the title of the case and whether "... following minor head and neck trauma" or something similar would be better than "following minor head injury" since there was some mechanism involving the neck during the trauma as well and not to mislead readers as something that would occur after a simple hit on the head with minor head injury...
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