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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is a very well-written and well-presented case of delayed neurological manifestations of high-voltage electrocution. This is a rare presentation and is of interest to general medical practitioners. The discussion section is succinct and provides a timely review of electrocution injury to the nervous system. I don't think there are any flaws in the paper.
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