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Dear Editor,

I have submitted revised manuscript entitled “Biepicondylar fracture presenting with dislocation in elbow: a case report”. I made all correction suggested by esteemed reviewers and uploaded response of the reviewer and revised text in the same file. Modifications were made in accordance with reviewer’s comments and these modifications have been addressed below, point by point. Thank you for your kind efforts.

Reviewer 1

We are extremely grateful to you for your valuable recommendations. We have revised our manuscript according to your suggestions. The changes are as mentioned below.

Comment: the manuscript looks an interesting and rare case presentation but needs some revisions about writing mistakes especially (“fractures of avulsion fractures of” e.g.)

Respond: We have corrected the sentences. (Thank you for your attention).

Comment: one more message should be given at last part of manuscript for complete the main consideration (“Orthopaedic surgeons also should bear in mind dislocations in pediatric fractures on joint areas” e.g.).

Respond: We added this sentences in conclusion section.

Comment: Needs some language corrections before being published.

Response: This study was revised for English grammar.

Reviewer 2

Hereby, I have sent the revised manuscript entitled “Biepicondylar fracture presenting with dislocation in elbow: a case report”, which we revised according to your recommendations. Modifications were made in accordance with your comments and these modifications have been addressed below, point by point. Thank you for your attention and valuable comments.
Comment: This case report though authentic and rare, standard of writing is poor. It needs extensive editing. Mechanism of injury needs detailed explanation.

Response: The manuscript was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style. Mechanism of injury was detailed explanation in discussion section.

Reviewer 3

Comment: I have no comment.

Response: Thank you for your review.