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Dear Professor Kidd:

On behalf of my coauthors, I am re-submitting our case series, “Primary Central Nervous System Angiosarcoma: A Case Series,” for consideration for publication in Journal of Medical Case Reports.

We greatly appreciate the editor’s and reviewer’s comments. As requested, we are providing a point-by-point response to the concerns raised in the review:

1. Please reformat the study design in your title, i.e. Case series. For example: A presenting with B in C: a case series: this has been completed on manuscript page 1.

2. Please restructure the Abstract into the following three sections: Introduction, Case presentation, and Conclusion. The abstract should be no longer than 350 words: this has been completed on manuscript page 2.

3. Please include the ethnicity of the patients in the case presentation section of the manuscript: this has been completed on manuscript pages 3 and 4.

4. Please remove dates from the case presentation section: this has been completed on manuscript pages 3 and 4.

5. In keeping with the journal’s style, please remove subsection “Neuropathology”: this has been completed on manuscript page 4.

6. Please revise the consent statement to confirm that informed written consent was received for publication of the manuscript and figures from the 2 patients “Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for publication of this manuscript and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal”: this has been completed on manuscript page 8.
7. Please highlight with “tracked changes/highlighted text” all changes made when revising the manuscript to make it easier for the Editors to give you a prompt decision on your manuscript: this has been completed.

8. Comments to authors: I would only suggest to add a flow-diagram that describe better the algorithm as described by the authors: this has been added as Table 2, on manuscript page 13.

Thank you very much for considering our revised manuscript. If you have any additional questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Cheryl Ann Palmer, M.D.
Division of Neuropathology
University of Alabama at Birmingham
1960 6th Avenue South; PD6A 175E
Birmingham, AL 35294
(205) 934-2164 – phone
(205) 975-7548 - fax
Email: capalmer@uab.edu