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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1- Abstract: I am not agreed with your conclusion. It is too long and you need to re-write it.

2- Introduction and result. There were many spelling mistakes. Please write in full sentences and did not use short form. Your introduction is should be fungal sinusitis and not CRS.

3- Discussion. There were few sentences you need to re-write it

4- It is good to give the figure of Ct scan rather than 3 pictures of the patients.

5- Title of manuscript. The word effect is better to change to

(The use of intra-antral application of antifungal agent in the treatment of recurrent maxillary sinusitis: a case report)
Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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