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Author’s response to reviews: see over
Letter in response to Editor Team and Reviewers

First we want to thank the Editorial Team and all the Referees for appreciating the paper and for the useful comments.

Here it follows a detailed list of point-by-point corrections, in response to Editorial Team (ET) and Referees’ comments.

**ET:** After initial consideration by the Editors, we request that your manuscript be checked over by a native English speaker and the language revised. If this is not possible, or has already been done, you may need to use a professional copyediting service. It is important that the quality of language is of a high standard.

**A:** The paper has been revisited for English copy editing by the authors themselves and by professional copyediting service for further proofreading in order to ameliorate style and to make it more readable.

**ET:** Please reformat the study design in your title, i.e. Case report. For example: A presenting with B in C: a case report.

**A:** We have reformulated the study design in the title, as suggested, in: Subcutaneous Metastases from Colon Cancer: a Case Report

Please also highlight (with 'tracked changes'/coloured/underlines/highlighted text) all changes made when revising the manuscript to make it easier for the Editors to give you a prompt decision on your manuscript.

**A:** We have highlighted in red all the corrections made to recognize them better.

**Referee 1 – Comments to authors:**
For my point of view, the case is interesting and unusual as well as it is well written. I have no revisions.

**A:** Thank you for the positive comments

**Referee 2- Comments to authors:**
its a good case. I recommend study for certain genes like BRCA-1, 2 etc as the pt. has dual malignancy.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**A:** Thank you for the useful comments, the BRCA-1, 2 study will be programmed.

The paper has been revisited for English copy editing by the authors themselves and by professional copyediting service.