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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected association between diseases or symptoms

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

In the abstract, case presentation
This fragment of bone was" replaced and reconstructed with the shaft of humerus" change to "reduce" by open reduction and internal fixation

In case presentation can be shortened by omit many phrases as shown
"A 30 year old Indian male, laborer by profession, was brought to the ER of our hospital after a motorcycle accident. On admission to the ER, the patient was in distress with pain in his right arm. Examination of the extremities revealed contusions over the right upper arm without any evidence of penetrating injury. There was marked swelling and tenderness in the middle part of the right arm. The radiograph of the right arm showed a unilateral displaced comminuted
fracture of the mid shaft of the humerus (Fig 1). The patient was then given first aid in the form of U slab and immediately transferred to the Orthopaedic ward for further evaluation."

Last 3 sentences on page 3 This fragment of bone was "replaced and reconstructed with the shaft of humerus" change to "reduced" by open reduction and internal fixation using DC plate and screws.

In discussion
However in their case the ulnar nerve palsy was due to the transection of the ulnar nerve deleted"and the cause of the same" was explored four months after surgery.

In references
"J Bone Jt Surg" change to "J Bone Joint Surg"

Figure 3a,b are not cleared what the authors want to demonstrated. Fig 3a is very red and look like flash burn. Fig 4 is very low resolution to demonstated the nerve and the arrow.
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