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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unreported or unusual side effects or adverse interactions involving medications

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

In this article, a case of intraarticular hemorrhage caused by bevacizumab in a patient with metastatic colorectal cancer has been reported. Synovial metastasis is very rare, so this case report is interesting. Unfortunately, the study contains no cytological data. However, I think the manuscript is worthy of publication.

1) Although the capecitabine plus bevacizumab regimen could be a treatment option (ASCO meeting abstracts 2008; 26s: abstr 15120), the standard therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer is a regimen containing oxaliplatin or irinotecan. The authors should describe why they administered capecitabine plus bevacizumab to the patient.
2) Please add information on inflammation and anemia.

3) Information on radiation dose, fraction, and treatment efficacy or outcome could be useful for clinicians. Please provide more detailed information in this regard.

Minor comments

1) Line 10 of the CASE REPORT: Please explain the meaning of the phrase “After the first cure.” Do you mean “After the first course of the regimen”?

2) Line 4 and 9 of the CASE REPORT: The word “capecitabine” is misspelled.

3) Line 26 of the DISCUSSION: Is the word “(life) treating (hemorrhage)” a misspelling of life-threatening?
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