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Author's response to reviews:

all the requested corrections by reviewers were done

Replay to reviewer 1

Title: Precocious Puberty in a 4 year Old Egyptian Girl Caused By Mixed Germ Cell-Sex Cord- Stromal-Non Gonadoblastoma Tumour of the Ovary.a Case Report

Version: 1 Date: 23 March 2012
Reviewer: Antonio D'Antonio
Replay
Text contain some grammar errors
Grammar errors were corrected as reviewer indicates

Histologic figures and very poor quality.Two H&E photos and one or two immunohistochemical figures of good quality are sufficient
Some of histologic figures were deleted and quality was improved as reviewer indicate( two H&E and two immunohistochemical)

and an excessive number of

Replay to reviewer 2

Reviewer's report
Title: Precocious Puberty in a 4 year Old Egyptian Girl Caused By Mixed Germ Cell-Sex Cord- Stromal-Non Gonadoblastoma Tumour of the Ovary.a Case Report
Case is interesting but not persuasive and, in my opinion, the text is redundant.
Some changes had been done to make our case persuasive as the reviewer indicates
Deletion of some text and some figures were done to make our case presentation less redundant as reviewer indicates

Replay to reviewer 3
Reviewer's report
Title: Precocious Puberty in a 4 year Old Egyptian Girl Caused By Mixed Germ Cell-Sex Cord- Stromal-Non Gonadoblastoma Tumour of the Ovary.a Case Report
Version: 1 Date: 18 March 2012
Reviewer: Alec Talerman

Replay
The Abstract does not need a long introduction, which actually duplicates the introduction to the article, and should consist mainly of the findings.
The introduction of the abstract was shortened as the reviewer indicates.

The diagnosis given is incomplete, as this is a mixed germ the term“Unclassified” should be deleted (Introduction).
The term “Unclassified” was deleted as reviewer indicates

Figure 4, although a better illustration of the tumor would be preferable. Figure 5 probably represents YST, but unfortunately the quality of the illustration is not very satisfactory and should be replaced
We tried to improve the quality of both figures as the reviewer indicates

Figures 6 and 7 are not very good and could be deleted without detracting from the article
Figures 6 and 7 were deleted as the reviewer indicate

Figure 10 and 11 could be deleted as the findings are described sufficiently well in the text.
Figure 10 and 11 were deleted the reviewer indicates
A better reference to gonadoblastoma than Ref. 20 would be the classic study of the subject by Scully (Scully R.E., Cancer 1970, 25, 1340-1356).

Reference 20 was replaced by ref (Scully R.E., Cancer 1970, 25, 1340-1356). As reviewer indicate

Replay to reviewer 2

Reviewer's report
Title: Precocious Puberty in a 4 year Old Egyptian Girl Caused By Mixed Germ Cell-Sex Cord- Stromal-Non Gonadoblastoma Tumour of the Ovary.a Case Report
Version: 1 Date: 1 March 2012
Reviewer: Valeria Calcaterra

Replay

Case is interesting but not persuasive and, in my opinion, the text is redundant.

Some changes had been done to make our case persuasive as the reviewer indicates

Deletion of some text and some figures were done to make our case presentation less redundant as reviewer indicates

Replay to reviewer 3

Reviewer's report
Title: Precocious Puberty in a 4 year Old Egyptian Girl Caused By Mixed Germ Cell-Sex Cord- Stromal-Non Gonadoblastoma Tumour of the Ovary.a Case Report
Version: 1 Date: 18 March 2012
Reviewer: Alec Talerman

Replay

The Abstract does not need a long introduction, which actually duplicates the introduction to the article, and should consist mainly of the findings.

The indoduction of the abstract was shortened as the reviewer indicates.

The diagnosis given is incomplete, as this is a mixed germ the term “Unclassified” should be deleted (Introduction).

The term “Unclassified” was deleted as reviewer indicates

Figure 4, although a better illustration of the tumor would be preferable. Figure 5
probably represents YST, but unfortunately the quality of the illustration is not very satisfactory and should be replaced.

We tried to improve the quality of both figures as the reviewer indicates.

Figures 6 and 7 are not very good and could be deleted without detracting from the article.

Figures 6 and 7 were deleted as the reviewer indicate.

Figure 10 and 11 could be deleted as the findings are described sufficiently well in the text.

Figure 10 and 11 were deleted the reviewer indicates.

A better reference to gonadoblastoma than Ref. 20 would be the classic study of the subject by Scully (Scully R.E., Cancer 1970, 25, 1340-1356).

Reference 20 was replaced by ref( Scully R.E., Cancer 1970, 25, 1340-1356). As reviewer indicate.