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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected association between diseases or symptoms

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors have reviewed their case report by taking into account all of the three comments and requests that I had risen. In particular, they have put in a tabular way a review of the literature. However, they have cited a manuscript (Smith M. et al – 2011) which is not specifically focused on Peters anomaly but rather on a case of prenatal vascular disease which could have potentially predisposed to a non-specific congenital eyes anomalies, such as Peters anomaly. I strongly advise the authors to remove this manuscript from their review of the literature.
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