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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This revised manuscript is greatly improved from the original version. The authors have adequately responded to all the comments of the reviewers. I have only one small criticism. The term "whiteness of the eye" is not very scientific (and is archaic) and should be replaced with either "opacity of the corneas" or "scarred corneas".
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