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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Report of an alternative treatment option of an uncommon surgical presentation (transverse colonic volvulus)

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The improvements in the revised submission are notable, and the paper is now much more balanced. However, I still do not think that the final sentence of the abstract is fully justified, and would suggest a softer "...may be considered..." rather than "...should...", because the long term success of the colopexy procedure in this case (which is the reported failing of fixation techniques in other studies) remains unknown.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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