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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

**Comments to authors:**

This is essentially a well researched and well laid out report on an unusual condition. The case has been satisfactorily researched & this is clearly apparent in the discussion.

There a few minor grammatical errors but on the whole the report reads well and is informative.

The title "a treatment option" is satisfactory but would be better when other options are reviewed/considered (see below).

The discussion paragraphs regarding radiology and aetiology need to be more concise as they tend to come across as a touch repetitive.
My major concern is that, by the authors own admission, is that colopexy is a less satisfactory treatment option by comparison to an extended R hemicolectomy and the basis of the former treatment being adopted here is not discussed. In the absence of this and better discussion of the other options it is difficult to recommend publication at this stage. A fuller discussion of these options at time of rewriting would be very beneficial.
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