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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report
this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before
publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This a very nicely written and informative article. My comments are to enhance
its existing strengths and increase its impact .
Which LHON mutations were tested for?
On fundoscopy was there evidence or not of venous engorgement?
Was the VEP repeated and did it show any changes on steroid and on
withdrawal?
What were the actual VEP stimulus conditions and result values latency and
amplitude. Was there an interocular amplitude asymmetry?
What were the ERG stimulus conditions?
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