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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is a perfectly solid report of an uncommon entity arising in an uncommon site. Parenthetically, it is interesting to note that the pilot biopsy was interpreted as a sinonasal papilloma- a perfectly predictable, in light of the limitations of small biopsy specimens from larger lesions, and a reminder that both benign and malignant lesions may be deceptively heterogeneous.

Well written, and well illustrated.
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