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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

A well written article of a rare clinical entity
Unfortunately a poor quality photograph of the surgical specimen
Needs minor grammatical and spelling corrections, and improvement of CT scans by blacking out the writing on the scans

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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