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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Abstract: This section is confusing. The information provided indicated that the patient reached for treatment only at 23-years-old. This part of the text needs to be corrected. The abstract needs to be clear.

The case presentation needs a better description of the first surgery. It was a modified radical mastectomy? A Madden or Patey modified radical mastectomy? It was a spare-skin mastectomy? The last circumstance may explain the recurrence in the native skin.

Furthermore, in case presentation, it is better to present all prescribed medications with the generic name and to omit using commercial names as Cytoxan, is correct to use: Cyclophosphamide.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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