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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

-------------------------------------------------

Is this case worth reporting?
Marginal

Is the case report persuasive?
Marginal

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?
1. This is now the 3rd reported case, not second; see Pathology International 2011; 61: 239–242, which describes a second case by the same author as the first. The more recent case also contained cancer, but should be acknowledged anyway. Please cite and briefly summarize both articles.

2. Insufficient microscopic description of polyp. Indicate in Results (not only Conclusions) whether the polyp contained any evidence of trauma, calcifications, hyalinization, hemosiderin, inflammation, mucin, etc.

3. Please comment on associations of OM with large polyp size and left-sided
Comments to authors
Writing contains errors of grammar and style, e.g., sentence fragments in Case presentation. Rearrange 2nd sentence in abstract (We present...)

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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