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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:
Radiological treatment of MAV during pregnancy

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

2 questions:
1- What exactly are the measures which were taken to minimize exposure of the fetus to ionizing radiation? You describe only the selection of the vessel. I assume you use the same procedure even if the patient isn't pregnant

2- How was the following obstetrical management of the pregnancy? Did you perform a C-section because of the MAV?
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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