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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Very nice case, and unique for its long follow-up. However, I suggest:

1. A review by an English spoken person to improve the understanding

2. To add some epidemiological data for intracortical osteosarcoma tumors in general: incidence, age, sex ratio, principal sites,...

3. To add arrows in the figures to improve the illustrations

4. To add more details for the second operation: size of excision,...


or others. Because this type of reconstruction permits a better and faster treatment in comparaison to Illizarov or ...

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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