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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors present a case of multifocal invasive ductal cancer with osteoclast-like giant cells. Diagnosis, treatment and relevant literature data are discussed. I would like to make a few major and minor comments.

Major comments:

1. Could the authors explain why was MRI carried out? They say that the lesions well corresponded on mammogram and ultrasound. Is the type of surgical treatment would indicate MRI?

2. Could you explain the technique called "intramammaire shift plasty"?

Minor comments:
1. Could the authors explain what radio dense means? (4th paragraph of case report)

2. Generally speaking the quality of English needs to be improved, more proper, professional vocabulary needs to be applied.

3. Literature should be updated literature, more recent papers needs to be included, too.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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