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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Editorial comments (minor issues not for publication):

1. The use of "alpha (greek symbol)" (first sentence of the abstract) versus "alpha" (elsewhere in the abstract, text and figure legend): You may want to use one or the other, depending on the Editorial Team's suggestions.

2. Case Presentation, sixth paragraph: “All these symptoms raise the possibility of MSA-P”: Should this be written in the past: “All these symptoms raisED the possibility of MSA-P”? This is just a question.

3. Acknowledgments: “staff of the Departments of Neurology, the Department of Pathology…”: You may want to write: “staff of the Department (NO PLURAL) of Neurology AND the Department of Pathology…”
4. Reference: References (plural)?
Thank you.
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