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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I think it would be useful if more histological and cytogenetic information about lymphoma were given (you say that anaplastic lymphomas have worse prognosis: was this an anaplastic one?).

Anyway, the report is good, even if English should be improved.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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