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Author's response to reviews:

Dear editors

Please consider our revised manuscript according to the reviewer's remarks. The corrections are highlighted in the text.

Section abstract

1. “Acute appendicitis as a result of Enterobius Vermicularis is a very rare incident, affecting mostly children” was replaced with Acute appendicitis due to Enterobius Vermicularis is very rare, affecting mostly children
2. “Adult infection is even rarer, in our case it concerned a 52 year old male. A patients age that to our knowledge has not ever been reported in the literature” was excluded
3. “The patient referred to our clinics emergency department” was rephrased to A Caucasian 52 year old male was referred to our emergency department
4. “McBurnay point” was corrected to McBurney sign
5. “a diagnostic workup suggesting acute appendicitis” was removed
6. “An open appendectomy” was rephrased to open appendectomy
7. “The histopathological examination revealed” was changed to The pathological examination showed
8. “appropriate treatment administered “ was specified and replaced by Two oral doses of 100mg of mebendazole were administered postoperatively

Section introduction

1. “Children being affected 4-28% worldwide” was rephrased to children infection is reported to be 4-28% worldwide
2. “… is the most common method of human transfer…” was rephrased to is the
most common route of human transfer
3. “pruritis ani” was corrected to pruritus

Section Case presentation
1. “The patient referred to our clinics emergency department” was rephrased to A Caucasian 52 year old male was referred to our emergency department
2. “McBurnay point” was corrected to McBurney sign
3. “a diagnostic workup suggesting acute appendicitis” was removed
4. “Did pathology show any signs of acute appendicitis?” without inflammatory infiltrations

Section Discussion
1. “a Turkish paper refers an age mean average 38 +/- 15,75” was replaced by Yildirim et al mention an age mean of 38 ±15.75 years
2. “0,2 – 41,8%” was changed to 0.2-41.8%

Second reviewers remarks
1. A sentence regarding the epidemiological data was added unfortunately there are no recorded data concerning the incidence of E. Vermicularis in Greek population
2. “An abdominal ultrasound performed failed to determine whether the appendix had inflammation or not, there were no pathological findings from the rest abdominal examination”, " The appendix macroscopically appeared normal" “ without inflammatory infiltrations”
3. “The surgeon must be aware of parasite infection, appendicitis-like symptoms. Careful examination and symptomatology awareness, such as pruritus ani or eosinophilia in the blood examination and a “high dose” of suspicion might prevent an unnecessary appendectomy” was added in the conclusion part according to the reviewers remarks.
4. The structures mentioned on figure legends are indicated with arrows

Dear editors
Please consider our revised manuscript according to the reviewer’s remarks. The corrections are highlighted in the text.

Section abstract
1. “Acute appendicitis as a result of Enterobius Vermicularis is a very rare incident, affecting mostly children” was replaced with Acute appendicitis due to Enterobius Vermicularis is very rare, affecting mostly children
2. “Adult infection is even rarer, in our case it concerned a 52 year old male. A patients age that to our knowledge has not ever been reported in the literature” was excluded
3. “The patient referred to our clinics emergency department” was rephrased to
A Caucasian 52 year old male was referred to our emergency department.

4. "McBurnay point" was corrected to McBurney sign.
5. "a diagnostic workup suggesting acute appendicitis" was removed.
6. "An open appendectomy" was rephrased to open appendectomy.
7. "The histopathological examination revealed" was changed to The pathological examination showed.
8. " appropriate treatment administered " was specified and replaced by Two oral doses of 100mg of mebendazole were administered postoperatively.

Section introduction
1. "Children being affected 4-28% worldwide" was rephrased to children infection is reported to be 4-28% worldwide.
2. "... is the most common method of human transfer.." was rephrased to is the most common route of human transfer.
3. "pruritis ani" was corrected to pruritus.

Section Case presentation
1. "The patient referred to our clinics emergency department" was rephrased to A Caucasian 52 year old male was referred to our emergency department.
2. "McBurnay point" was corrected to McBurney sign.
3. "a diagnostic workup suggesting acute appendicitis" was removed.
4. "Did pathology show any signs of acute appendicitis?" without inflammatory infiltrations.

Section Discussion
1. "a Turkish paper refers an age mean average 38 +/- 15,75" was replaced by Yildirim et al mention an age mean of 38 ±15.75 years.
2. "0,2 – 41,8%" was changed to 0.2-41.8%.

Second reviewers remarks
1. A sentence regarding the epidemiological data was added unfortunately there are no recorded data concerning the incidence of E. Vermicularis in Greek population.
2. "An abdominal ultrasound performed failed to determine whether the appendix had inflammation or not, there were no pathological findings from the rest abdominal examination", "The appendix macroscopically appeared normal" " without inflammatory infiltrations".
3. "The surgeon must be aware of parasite infection, appendicitis-like symptoms. Careful examination and symptomatology awareness, such as pruritus ani or eosinophilia in the blood examination and a "high dose" of suspicion might prevent an unnecessary appendectomy" was added in the conclusion part according to the reviewers remarks.
4. The structures mentioned on figure legends are indicated with arrows