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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: No

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors reported an interesting case of an inadvertently misplaced permanent transvenous ventricular pacing lead into the left ventricle after passing via a patent foramen ovale.

The case is not original but consist a nice part of electro physiologic theories that explain the occurrence of RBBB pattern during RV pacing.

The article has a clear story line and reads easily.
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