Title: May intestinal adhesion due to previous uterine surgery be a risk factor for delayed diagnosis of uterine rupture? A Case report

Authors:

Tomoyuki Kuwata (kuwata@jichi.ac.jp)
Shigeki Matsubara (matsushi@jichi.ac.jp)
Rie Usui (satori@jichi.ac.jp)
Shin-ichiro Uchida (r0806su@jichi.ac.jp)
Naohiro Sata (sata@jichi.ac.jp)
Mitsuaki Suzuki (msuzuki@jichi.ac.jp)

Version: 2 Date: 5 August 2011

Author’s response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor

I am pleased to see the favorable comments from you and both Reviewers. I described how I changed the manuscript according to Reviewers in an item-by-item manner in Response to Reviewers.
1. “Case report” was added to the title.
2. I deleted short title.
3. “Japanese” was added (abstract).
Red and blue indicate the parts changed/added according to Reviewer 1 and 2, respectively. Green indicates the parts changed according to both Reviewers.
I hope that you would consider that the revised version would be suitable for publication in Journal of Medical Case Reports.

Response to Reviewer 1
Thank you very much for your advice. I agree with your comments and changed the manuscript accordingly. Red color indicates the parts that were changed/added according to you (Reviewer 1). Blue indicates the added parts according to another Reviewer (Rev. 2). Green indicates the parts that were changed/added according to both Reviewers’ comments.
1. Dr Daniel Mrozek, the President of Medical English Service of Kyoto Japan, has revised this manuscript.
2. Week of gestation was added. The word “non-laboring” was added.
3. Previous myomectomy situation was described in detail.
4. I described “postural hypotension”. This is important, and thus I made a new paragraph for it.
5. “Figure 1, arrow” was employed.
6 and 7. I agree. I changed the context according to your advice. Please confirm the red part in the Discussion section. I also changed the title as you suggested. I believe that the manuscript has become better.

Response to Reviewer 2
Thank you very much for your advice. I agree with your comments and changed the manuscript accordingly. Blue color indicates the parts that were changed/added
according to you (Reviewer 2). Red indicates the added parts according to another Reviewer (Rev. 1). Green indicates the parts that were changed/added according to both Reviewers’ comments.

1. Detailed information was described as to previous myomectomy.
2. Gravidity, parity and past history was described.

Another reviewer advised that the context should be changed a little. I did so, which is indicated in red color. Title was also changed according to another Reviewer’s comment, which may more correctly illustrate the present context.

Sincerely,
Tomoyuki Kuwata
Shigeki Matsubara