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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

3 major comments:
- need more literature analysis
- need more discussion about differential histological diagnosis
- need a systematic second opinion of the diagnosis. actually, EMA positive is not possible for sex cords steroid tumor but much more corticosurrelanoma ectopic (possible in the pelvic area); What about calretinin?

one minor comment:
- figure 1 and 2 are reversed concerning HPS and IHC
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