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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Comments:

The authors report here an extremely rare case of extra-ovarian, extra-adrenal steroid cell tumor of pelvic mesentery. The tumor caused virilizing symptoms and infertility, however, these clinical manifestations disappeared after operation. The authors claimed that steroid cell tumor should be considered as a differential diagnosis among women presenting virilization and infertility. So far, there were only a small number of reports regarding such a steroid cell tumor, making this case report valuable. But some suggestions are made below to be criticized:

(minor points)
1. “HHer” should be corrected to “Her” (page 3, line 27).
2. The referred figure number is incorrect in “Case presentation” section (page 4, line 6-9). The authors should correct figure number.

3. The authors should mention original magnification of all figures.

That is all.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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