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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I would suggest three things:

1. The flow of the clinical presentation strikes me as unlikely how this proceeded -- correct me if I am wrong. I suspect the patient presented with a testis mass, had an orchiectomy and then the diagnosis was made. It sounds as if plasmacytoma was on the differential of the urologist, and although he is a skilled clinician, I do not think this is a typical thought for a testis mass in a man this age. The presentation should be re-written in a more chronologically accurate manner.

2. Have the references in the article appear sequentially

3. Gross photograph may be helpful?
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