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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:
an unpublished combination of surgical techniques

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors have addressed the comments that were given.

It is now clear that this is one of many possible techniques to solve this problem and, as is mentioned (“we have achieved better results”) surgeons preference is a major element in deciding which techniques are used. The patient is doing well after six months. The authors could add one more sentence to explain to the readers that complications can be expected during the first one to two years (thus explaining that follow up is still relatively short) (cfr. Van der Aa et al.)
Neurourol Urodyn 2009, 28(6)).

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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