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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

This report describes for the first time the use of Auron Misheil therapy in a patient with advanced HCC.

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The case report describes the effect of Auron Misheil therapy in a patient with advanced HCC. I think that the presumptive activity of this therapy should be better described in comparison with other therapeutic strategies, such as somatostatin and somatostatin analogues-based therapies. In particular, the Authors should briefly outline why insulin and somatostatin with apparent contrary effects could be active in HCC. Dosages of AMT drugs and description of side-effects of treatment should be added to the paper.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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