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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Surgical management of Amyand's hernia

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common operations in surgical practice. Despite that, hernias often pose technical dilemmas, even for the experienced surgeon, especially when faced a Amyand's hernia.

The aim of the study was to present the experience of a university surgical department with Amyand’s hernias along with a briefly review of the literature on this subject.

In conclusion, a hernia surgeon may encounter unexpected intraoperative findings. The question if he should perform an appendectomy at the same time
with the hernia repair depends on many conditions, as the authors concluded. This is a fine written case series of Amyand’s hernia, as well as the according management. Always think about it.
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