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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is an interesting case report although it may only be rare in your antenatal population. Certainly the literature before 1970, while it does not always specify the exact degree of prolapse, would suggest a much higher incidence in more disadvantaged areas and where grand multiparity was more common.

In your experience elective caesarean section is the safest mode of delivery. However, no evidence is present and in the four other cases from the last decade caesarean section delivery was performed twice at maternal request, once for malpresentation and once for possible foetal distress.
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