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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Section introduction:
There are no references in the introduction section.

Section discussion:
The 1st paragraph should be transferred to the introduction.
The 2nd paragraph should be deleted, it offers nothing to the manuscript.
The 3rd paragraph should be transferred to the introduction.
The 5th paragraph should be the first paragraph of the discussion since this is a case report orientated to the glucagonoma syndrome.
There should be a paragraph concerning the differential diagnosis of glucagonoma [Konukiewitz B Virchows Arch 2010][Morgan KA Surg Clin North
There should be a paragraph concerning the rare pancreatic tumors [Padhi JOP 2010] [Espinal-Witter Virchows Archives 2010] [Cheng Cancer Treat Res 2010] [Papavramidis Annals Gastroenterol 2010] [Apostolidis J Med Case Reports 2008] etc
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