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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

The case describes a case of sigmoid intussusception in an adult with no obvious cause. This is known to be the case in a small proportion of adult sigmoid intussusceptions (much fewer than in children). The case therefore does not contribute to the literature.

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This case report is well written and the clinical photographs are clear. However the entity of idiopathic sigmoid intussusception, even in adults is already known.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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