Author’s response to reviews

Title: Rhodococcus Equi venous catheter infection: a case report and review

Authors:

Zeina Nahleh (zeina.nahleh@ttuhsc.edu)
Ashish Bhargava (bashish@med.wayne.edu)
rosalinda guerrero (rosalinda.guerrero@ttuhsc.edu)

Version: 3 Date: 20 April 2011

Author’s response to reviews:

Responses to the The CS Editorial comments:

- Please restructure the Abstract into the following three sections: Introduction, Case presentation, and Conclusion. The abstract should be no longer than 350 words.

  Introduction: An introduction about why this case is important and needs to be reported. Please include information on whether this is the first report of this kind in the literature.

  Case presentation: Brief details of what the patient presented with, including the patient’s age, sex and ethnic background.

  Conclusion: A brief conclusion of what the reader should learn from the case report and what the clinical impact will be. Is it an original case report of interest to a particular clinical speciality of medicine or will it have a broader clinical impact across medicine? Please include information on how it will significantly advance our knowledge of a particular disease etiology or drug mechanism.

  R: Done

- Please include a competing interests section at the end of the manuscript, before the reference list. If the authors have no competing interests, please state: "The authors declare that they have no competing interests."

  R: Done –highlighted

- Please include the authors contribution section. We suggest the following kind of format (please use initials to refer to each author’s contribution): FC analyzed and interpreted the patient data regarding the hematological disease and the transplant. RH performed the histological examination of the kidney, and was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

  R: Done-highlighted on first page

- We feel that it is not necessary to explicitly state that the patient is a sculptor and, in the interests of protecting the patient's identity, we think this could be
re-phrased to state that 'in a professional capacity the patient works with natural hair taken from live horses' or words to that effect. Please could you re-phrase sections where they have indicated the patient's profession.

R: Ok REWORDED, HIGHLIGHTED